

## Preliminary Examination: LINEAR MODELS

Answer all questions and show all work.  
Q1 is 30 points; Q2 is 35 points, and Q3 is 35 points.

1. Let  $\mathbf{X}_1$  and  $\mathbf{X}_2$  be  $n \times p_1$  and  $n \times p_2$  matrices of predictors whose columns are linearly independent to each other. We consider the linear regression model below:

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}_1\boldsymbol{\beta}_1 + \mathbf{X}_2\boldsymbol{\beta}_2 + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon},$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_1$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_2$  are  $p_1$ - and  $p_2$ -dimensional vectors, respectively, and  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \sim N(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2\mathbf{I})$ .

- a. Express the ordinary least square (OLS) estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{\beta}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_2 \end{pmatrix}$  using  $\mathbf{X}_1$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_2$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ .
- b. Let

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_{11} & \mathbf{G}_{12} \\ \mathbf{G}_{21} & \mathbf{G}_{22} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{Y} \\ \mathbf{X}'_2\mathbf{Y} \end{pmatrix}$$

be the OLS estimator found above in part (a). Find the explicit forms of  $\mathbf{G}_{11}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_{12}$ ,  $\mathbf{G}_{21}$ , and  $\mathbf{G}_{22}$ .

- c. Based on the results in part (b), show that  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}_1 = (\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_1)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{Y}$  when  $\mathbf{X}'_1\mathbf{X}_2 = \mathbf{0}$ .
2. The theory of optimal linear *prediction* parallels closely the theory of optimal linear *estimation*. In this question you are asked to derive a fundamental result pertaining to the former.

Let  $Y \in \mathbb{R}$  be a random response, and let  $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^p$  be a *random* predictor. We seek a linear predictor  $\alpha + \mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$  that minimizes  $E[(Y - \alpha - \mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta})^2]$  over all choice of  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}^p$ . Such a predictor  $\alpha + \mathbf{x}'\boldsymbol{\beta}$  is called a *best linear predictor* of  $Y$ .

Define  $\mu_y = E(Y)$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_x = E(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\sigma_y^2 = var(Y)$ ,  $\mathbf{V}_{xx} = Cov(\mathbf{x})$ , and  $\mathbf{V}_{xy} = Cov(\mathbf{x}, Y) = V'_{yx} = Cov(Y, \mathbf{x})'$ . Without loss of generality, we will write an arbitrary linear predictor in the form  $\alpha + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x)'\boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

- a. Show that the optimal choice of  $\alpha$  is simply  $\hat{\alpha} = \mu_y$ .
- b. Show that if  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_*$  is a solution to the linear system

$$\mathbf{V}_{xx}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{V}_{xy},$$

then  $\mu_y + (\mathbf{x} - \boldsymbol{\mu}_x)' \boldsymbol{\beta}_*$  is the best linear predictor of  $Y$ . [Hint: Without loss of generality, you may assume  $\mu_y = 0$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_x = \mathbf{0}$  for this part.]

- c. Derive an expression for a 95% prediction interval for  $Y$  based on the best linear predictor in part (b). State any assumptions necessary for the validity of your interval.
3. Given the dataset  $\{\mathbf{x}_i, y_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , we wish to obtain a bootstrapped least-squares estimate for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  under the model

$$(1) \quad \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{X}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_n,$$

with  $\mathbf{X} = [\mathbf{x}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}'_n]'$  and  $\mathbf{Y} = [y_1, \dots, y_n]'$ . Here,  $\mathbf{X}$  is  $n \times p$  with  $\text{rank}(\mathbf{X}) = p$ .

*Bootstrapping* is a type of resampling where samples of the *same size*  $n$  are drawn, *with replacement*, from a single original sample. Let  $\mathbf{u}_i \equiv (\delta_{ij})_{j=1}^n$  be a  $1 \times n$  vector with zero entries apart from  $u_{ii} = 1$ , then we can select the  $i$ -th row of  $\mathbf{X}$  by  $\mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{X}$ , or the  $i$ -th entry in  $\mathbf{Y}$  by  $y_i = \mathbf{u}_i \mathbf{Y}$ . Thus, if  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}}$  are the corresponding bootstrap samples of  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ , respectively, we can represent the bootstrap sample by a matrix of  $\mathbf{B}$  with rows that are similar to  $\mathbf{u}_i$ , but with  $i$  being sampled, and such that  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{X}$  and  $\tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}$ .

- a. For the bootstrap sample  $(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}, \tilde{\mathbf{Y}})$ , we will calculate the *OLS* estimator which is called the bootstrapped least square estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ . Show that this bootstrapped least square estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ , say  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{B})$ , is actually a *weighted* least square estimator from the original data. More specifically, show that  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{B})$  is the generalized least square estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  in

$$\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \quad E[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}] = \mathbf{0}, \quad \text{Var}[\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}|\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{B}] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{W}(\mathbf{B})^{-1},$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{B})^{-1}$  is a function of the bootstrap sampling scheme, i.e., thus a function of  $\mathbf{B}$ , and show that  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{B})^{-1}$  is a diagonal matrix.

- b. Provide an expression for  $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{B}) \equiv \text{Diag}(\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{B})^{-1})$ , the vector consisting of diagonal elements of  $\mathbf{W}(\mathbf{B})^{-1}$ . In addition, show that for its  $i$ -th element, we have

$$w(\mathbf{B})_i \sim \text{Binomial} \left( n, \frac{1}{n} \right), \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

What does  $w(\mathbf{B})_i$  represent?

- c. Since  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{B})$  depends on  $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{B})$ , let us denote  $\mathbf{w}(\mathbf{B})$  as  $\mathbf{w}$  and set  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{w}) \equiv \tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{B})$ . Now regard  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{w})$  as a function of  $\mathbf{w}$  and use the delta method with a second order approximation around  $E(\mathbf{w})$  to conclude that  $E_{\mathbf{w}}[\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{w})] \approx \hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ , where  $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$  is the OLS estimator for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  in the original model in (1).
- d. Argue that with a positive probability  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\beta}}(\mathbf{w})$  might not exist. What can go wrong? Be as specific as possible.